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- Introduced by Koopmans and Beckmann in 1957
- Cited by $\approx 1500$
- Among the hardest combinatorial problems
- Real and test instances easily accessible (QAPLib - A quadratic assignment problem library)
- Instances of size $N=30$ are still unsolved

- Optimal assignment of factories to the cities marked in green
- Distances between the cities and flows between the factories shown below

$$
\mathbf{A}=\left[\begin{array}{lllll}
0 & 3 & 6 & 4 & 2 \\
3 & 0 & 2 & 3 & 3 \\
6 & 2 & 0 & 3 & 4 \\
4 & 3 & 3 & 0 & 1 \\
2 & 3 & 4 & 1 & 0
\end{array}\right] \mathbf{B}=\left[\begin{array}{ccccc}
0 & 10 & 15 & 0 & 7 \\
10 & 0 & 5 & 6 & 0 \\
15 & 5 & 0 & 4 & 2 \\
0 & 6 & 4 & 0 & 5 \\
7 & 0 & 2 & 5 & 0
\end{array}\right]
$$

- Optimal solution $=258$
- Optimal permutation $=\left[\begin{array}{lllll}2 & 4 & 5 & 3 & 1\end{array}\right]$

$$
\mathrm{A}=\left[\begin{array}{lllll}
0 & 3 & 6 & 4 & 2 \\
3 & 0 & 2 & 3 & 3 \\
6 & 2 & 0 & 3 & 4 \\
4 & 3 & 3 & 0 & 1 \\
2 & 3 & 4 & 1 & 0
\end{array}\right] \quad \mathbf{B}_{24531}=\left[\begin{array}{ccccc}
0 & 6 & 0 & 5 & 10 \\
6 & 0 & 5 & 4 & 0 \\
0 & 5 & 0 & 2 & 7 \\
5 & 4 & 2 & 0 & 15 \\
10 & 0 & 7 & 15 & 0
\end{array}\right]
$$
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- Hospital Layout - German university hospital, Klinikum Regensburg, built 1972
- Optimality proven in the year 2000
- [Krarup and Pruzan(1978)]

- Airport gate assignment
- Minimize total passenger movement
- Minimize total baggage movement
- [Haghani and Chen(1998)]

- Steinberg wiring problem
- [Steinberg(1961)]
- component placing on circuit boards
- [Rabak and Sichman(2003)]
- Minimizing the number of transistors needed on integrated circuits
- Burkard et al.(1993)

- Optimal placing of letters on keyboards
- Language specific
- Burkard and Offermann(1977)
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- Optimal placing of letters on touchscreen devices
- Only one or two fingers used
- Dell'Amico et al.(2009)
- Turbine runner in electricity generation
- The weight of the blades can differ up to $\pm 5 \%$
- Objective: To balance the turbine runner
- [Laporte and Mercure(1988)]


- Seating order at tonight's dinner

$\square \square \square \square \square \square \square$
- Microarrays can have up to 1.3 million probes
- Small subregions can be solved as QAPs
- Objective: To reduce the risk of unintended illumination of probes

- Bandwith minimization of a graph
- Image processing
- Economics
- Molecular conformations in chemistry
- Scheduling
- Supply Chains
- Manufacturing lines

In addition to all the above, many well known problems in combinatorial optimization can be written as QAPs, e.g.

- Traveling salesman problem
- Maximum cut problem


## Three Objective Functions

- Koopmanns-Beckmann

$$
\begin{equation*}
\min A \cdot X B X^{\top} \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

- SDP

$$
\begin{equation*}
\min \operatorname{tr}\left(A X B X^{\top}\right) \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

- DLR

$$
\begin{equation*}
\min X A \cdot B X \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Koopmans Beckmann form

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{i=1}^{N} \sum_{j=1}^{N} \sum_{k=1}^{N} \sum_{l=1}^{N} a_{i j} b_{k l} \cdot x_{i k} x_{j l} \\
& \sum_{i=1}^{N} x_{i j}=1, \quad j=1, \ldots, N \\
& \sum_{j=1}^{N} x_{i j}=1, \quad i=1, \ldots, N \\
& x_{i j} \in\{0,1\}, \quad i, j=1, \ldots, N
\end{aligned}
$$

Koopmans Beckmann form

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{i=1}^{N} \sum_{j=1}^{N} \sum_{k=1}^{N} \sum_{l=1}^{N} a_{i j} b_{k l} \cdot x_{i k} x_{j l} \\
& \sum_{i=1}^{N} x_{i j}=1, \quad j=1, \ldots, N \\
& \sum_{j=1}^{N} x_{i j}=1, \quad i=1, \ldots, N \\
& x_{i j} \in\{0,1\}, \quad i, j=1, \ldots, N
\end{aligned}
$$

$\Rightarrow$ This formulation has $N^{2}(N-1)^{2}$ bilinear terms.
$\min X A \cdot B X$

$$
\begin{gathered}
\min \sum_{i=1}^{N} \sum_{j=1}^{N} a_{i j}^{\prime} b_{i j}^{\prime} \\
a_{i j}^{\prime}=\sum_{k=1}^{n} a_{k j} x_{i k} \quad \forall i, j \\
b_{i j}^{\prime}=\sum_{k=1}^{n} b_{i k} x_{k j} \quad \forall i, j \\
A=\left[\begin{array}{ccccc}
0 & 3 & 5 & 9 & 6 \\
3 & 0 & 2 & 6 & 9 \\
5 & 2 & 0 & 8 & 10 \\
9 & 6 & 8 & 0 & 2 \\
6 & 9 & 10 & 2 & 0
\end{array}\right] \quad B=\left[\begin{array}{ccccc}
0 & 4 & 3 & 7 & 7 \\
4 & 0 & 4 & 10 & 4 \\
3 & 4 & 0 & 2 & 3 \\
7 & 10 & 2 & 0 & 4 \\
7 & 4 & 3 & 4 & 0
\end{array}\right]
\end{gathered}
$$

## $\min X A \cdot B X$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \min \sum_{i=1}^{N} \sum_{j=1}^{N} a_{i j}^{\prime} b_{i j}^{\prime} \\
& a_{i j}^{\prime}=\sum_{k=1}^{n} a_{k j} x_{i k} \quad \forall i, j \\
& b_{i j}^{\prime}=\sum_{k=1}^{n} b_{i k} x_{k j} \quad \forall i, j
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
A=\left[\begin{array}{ccccc}
0 & 3 & 5 & 9 & 6 \\
3 & 0 & 2 & 6 & 9 \\
5 & 2 & 0 & 8 & 10 \\
9 & 6 & 8 & 0 & 2 \\
6 & 9 & 10 & 2 & 0
\end{array}\right] \quad B=\left[\begin{array}{ccccc}
0 & 4 & 3 & 7 & 7 \\
4 & 0 & 4 & 10 & 4 \\
3 & 4 & 0 & 2 & 3 \\
7 & 10 & 2 & 0 & 4 \\
7 & 4 & 3 & 4 & 0
\end{array}\right]
$$

$$
a_{23}^{\prime}=5 x_{21}+2 x_{22}+0 x_{23}+8 x_{24}+10 x_{25}
$$

$$
b_{23}^{\prime}=4 x_{13}+0 x_{23}+4 x_{33}+10 x_{43}+4 x_{53}
$$
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Discrete Linear Reformulation (DLR)

$$
\left.\begin{array}{c}
\min \sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{j=1}^{n} \sum_{m=1}^{M_{i}} B_{i}^{m} z_{i j}^{m} \\
z_{i j}^{m} \leq \bar{A}_{j} \sum_{k \in \mathrm{~K}_{i}^{m}} x_{k j} m=1, \ldots, M_{i} \\
\sum_{m=1}^{M_{i}} z_{i j}^{m}=a_{i j}^{\prime}
\end{array}\right\} \forall i, j
$$

Example for one bilinear term $a_{23}^{\prime} b_{23}^{\prime}$

$$
\begin{gathered}
a_{23}^{\prime}=5 x_{21}+2 x_{22}+0 x_{23}+8 x_{24}+10 x_{25} \\
b_{23}^{\prime}=4 x_{13}+0 x_{23}+4 x_{33}+10 x_{43}+4 x_{53} \\
x_{13}+x_{23}+x_{33}+x_{43}+x_{53}=1 \\
x_{21}+x_{22}+x_{23}+x_{24}+x_{25}=1
\end{gathered}
$$

Discrete Linear Reformulation (DLR)

$$
\left.\begin{array}{c}
\min \sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{j=1}^{n} \sum_{m=1}^{M_{i}} B_{i}^{m} z_{i j}^{m} \\
z_{i j}^{m} \leq \bar{A}_{j} \sum_{k \in \mathrm{~K}_{i}^{m}} x_{k j} \quad m=1, \ldots, M_{i} \\
\sum_{m=1}^{M_{i}} z_{i j}^{m}=a_{i j}^{\prime}
\end{array}\right\} \forall i, j
$$

Example for one bilinear term $a_{23}^{\prime} b_{23}^{\prime}$

$$
\begin{gathered}
a_{23}^{\prime}=5 x_{21}+2 x_{22}+0 x_{23}+8 x_{24}+10 x_{25} \\
b_{23}^{\prime}=4 x_{13}+0 x_{23}+4 x_{33}+10 x_{43}+4 x_{53} \\
x_{13}+x_{23}+x_{33}+x_{43}+x_{53}=1 \\
x_{21}+x_{22}+x_{23}+x_{24}+x_{25}=1
\end{gathered}
$$

$$
\begin{gathered}
4 z_{23}^{1}+10 z_{23}^{2} \\
z_{23}^{1} \leq 10\left(x_{13}+x_{33}+x_{53}\right) \\
z_{23}^{1}+z_{23}^{2}=a_{23}^{\prime}
\end{gathered}
$$




Figure 1: Bilinear term $a_{23}^{\prime} b_{23}^{\prime}$ discretized in $b_{23}^{\prime}$ (to the left) and in $a_{23}^{\prime}$ (to the right)

- The size of the MILP problem is dependent on the number of unique elements per row.
- Tightness of the MILP problem is dependent on the differences between the elements in each row.
- The size of the model is dependent on the number of unique elements per row.
$\downarrow$ The tightness of the model is dependent on the differences between the elements in each row.
$\Rightarrow$ A can be modified to any matrix $\tilde{\mathrm{A}}$, where $\tilde{a}_{i j}+\tilde{a}_{j i}=a_{i j}+a_{j i}$.
- By solving an LP a priori, we can decrease the model size, tighten the formulation and improve the lower bound.
- The size of the model is dependent on the number of unique elements per row.
- The tightness of the model is dependent on the differences between the elements in each row.
$\rightarrow$ A can be modified to any matrix $\tilde{\mathrm{A}}$, where $\tilde{a}_{i j}+\tilde{a}_{j i}=a_{i j}+a_{j i}$.
- By solving an LP a priori, we can decrease the model size, tighten the formulation and improve the lower bound.

$$
A=\left[\begin{array}{llllllll}
0 & 1 & 2 & 2 & 3 & 4 & 4 & 5 \\
1 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 2 & 3 & 3 & 4 \\
2 & 1 & 0 & 2 & 1 & 2 & 2 & 3 \\
2 & 1 & 2 & 0 & 1 & 2 & 2 & 3 \\
3 & 2 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 2 \\
4 & 3 & 2 & 2 & 1 & 0 & 2 & 3 \\
4 & 3 & 2 & 2 & 1 & 2 & 0 & 1 \\
5 & 4 & 3 & 3 & 2 & 3 & 1 & 0
\end{array}\right] \quad \tilde{A}=\left[\begin{array}{llllllll}
0 & 2 & 2 & 2 & 6 & 6 & 6 & 6 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 4 & 4 & 4 & 4 \\
2 & 2 & 0 & 2 & 2 & 2 & 2 & 2 \\
2 & 2 & 2 & 0 & 2 & 2 & 2 & 2 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
2 & 2 & 2 & 2 & 2 & 0 & 2 & 2 \\
2 & 2 & 2 & 2 & 2 & 2 & 0 & 2 \\
4 & 4 & 4 & 4 & 4 & 4 & 0 & 0
\end{array}\right]
$$

- Does not break the symmetries in the problem.

| Instance | Size | opt | old LB | DLR | Time(minutes) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| esc32a | 32 | 130 | 103 | 130 | 1964 |
| esc32b | 32 | 168 | 132 | 168 | 3500 |
| esc32c | 32 | 642 | 616 | 642 | 254 |
| esc32d | 32 | 200 | 191 | 200 | 10 |
| esc64a | 64 | 116 | 98 | 116 | 48 |

Table 1: Solution times for the instances esc32a, esc32b, esc32c, esc32d and esc64a from the QAPLIB to optimality using Gurobi 4.1 with default settings.

- Instances presented in 1990 and solved 2010 with our models
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## Thank you for listening!

## Questions?

